

	Friendly and fluent speech	Cannot pronounce scientific terms correctly during communication	Uses loud and dialect words during communication	The teacher's speech culture does not meet the requirements
A.Parsoxonov	•	\circ	\circ	\circ
A.Saodullayev	•	0	0	0
B.Xoldorov	•	0	0	\circ
G.Kushakov	•	\circ	\circ	\circ
U.Xudoyberdiyev	•	\circ	\circ	\circ
X.Majidov	•	0	0	0
orofessor-teacher o	luring the lesson			
Excellent				
Excellent Not new and sim	nply structured			
_				
Not new and sim	ation			
Not new and sim Very little inform Does not meet th	ation	ducational material	s by the professor-to	eacher *
Not new and sim Very little inform Does not meet th	ation ne requirements of foreign-language e	ducational material	s by the professor-to	eacher *

5. The level of use of information and communication technologies (ICT) and interactive methods * by the professor-teacher:
• Uses ICT very well
Uses it rarely
• Uses it incorrectly
· Never uses it
Relevance and quality (novelty and convenience) of the literature used by the professor- teacher in the field .
Literature is of high quality and relevant to the topics
Literature is of good quality and partially relevant
Uses outdated literature
Literature is of poor quality and irrelevant to the topics
7. Are lectures, practical classes, seminars (laboratory sessions) organized satisfactorily, and are * students' interests taken into account ?
Classes are organized excellently
Students' interests are considered in organizing the classes
• There are shortcomings in organizing the teaching process
Classes are not organized properly at all

. Does the profess	sor-teacher's lev	vel of knowledge	e meet the require	ments ? *	
	• Excellent	Average	Satisfactory	• Very low	Столбец 5
A.Parsoxonov	•	0	\circ	\circ	\circ
A.Saodullayev	•	\circ	\circ	0	0
B.Xoldorov	•	0	0	0	0
G.Kushakov	•	0	0	\circ	0
U.Xudoyberdiyev	•	0	0	0	0
X.Majidov	•	0	0	0	0

		dge, does the professo we you experienced any		ents differently *
The teacher evaluation The teacher is unfall.		wledge fairly and does no	t treat them differently	based on their
12. Are there cases of • No • Yes	injustice or brib	ery by professors when	assessing students	s' knowledge ?*
13. Do these professo	rs work accordin	g to the "Master-appre	ntice" tradition ?*	
	• Always	 Yes, but it is not effective 	Not active	• No
A.Parsoxonov	•	\circ	\circ	\circ
A.Saodullayev	•	0	0	\circ
B.Xoldorov	•	0	0	\circ
G.Kushakov	•	0	0	0
U.Xudoyberdiyev	•	0	0	0
X.Majidov	•	0	0	0

14. Are the opportunities to use library and electronic resources sufficient ?*
Excellent
○ • Average
○ • Satisfactory
Do not meet the requirements
15. How would you assess the level of technical equipment in classrooms and laboratories at your institution ?
● · High
○ • Average
·Low
○ • Very low
16. Are the opportunities to use information technologies sufficient for you at the institution ?*
• Excellent
○ • Average
○ • Satisfactory
○ • Very low
17. In your opinion, what are the strengths of your higher education institution ?*